

Mock exam Geometry 2022 with solutions

1. Suppose $n \geq 2$ is a positive integer and imagine an n -simplex $J = [v_0, \dots, v_n]$ in \mathbb{R}^n . K is the simplicial complex in \mathbb{R}^n consisting of all the faces of J that have dimension 2 or less.

(a) Write down the Euler characteristic of K as a function of n .

For a simplex $[S]$ all k -element subsets of $T \subset S$ correspond to a face $[T]$ of the simplex and all faces are like that. Therefore $\#K_0 = \#J_0 = n + 1$ and $\#K_1 = \#J_1 = \binom{n+1}{2}$ and $\#K_2 = \#J_2 = \binom{n+1}{3}$. Since K contains no simplices of dimension > 2 we can compute the Euler characteristic as $\chi(K) =$

$$\binom{n+1}{1} - \binom{n+1}{2} + \binom{n+1}{3} = n+1 - \frac{1}{2}(n+1)n + \frac{1}{6}n(n+1)(n-1) = \frac{n+1}{6}(n^2 - 4n + 6)$$

(b) For any $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, denote by $R_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ the affine reflection in the affine hyperplane spanned by the $(n-1)$ -dimensional face of J that does NOT contain v_i . Prove that for any $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ the composition $R_i \circ R_j$ is an affine rotation.

The composition of two affine reflections in affine planes X and Y is an affine rotation whenever X and Y intersect. In this case $X = [\{v_0, \dots, v_n\} \setminus \{v_i\}]$ and $Y = [\{v_0, \dots, v_n\} \setminus \{v_j\}]$ so that $X \cap Y = [\{v_0, \dots, v_n\} \setminus \{v_i, v_j\}]$. This is non-empty because $n \geq 2$.

(c) Define $L = K \cup \{R_0(\sigma) \mid \sigma \in K\}$. Prove that L is a simplicial complex.

For any simplex $[S]$ and any affine reflection R we have $R([S]) = [R(S)]$ so the reflection of a simplex is again a simplex. Now we should check that the intersection of two simplices in L is again in L . The reflection hyperplane contains all vertices v_1, \dots, v_n but not v_0 . This means that $R_0(v_i) = v_i$ whenever $i > 0$ and $R_0(v_0) \neq v_0$. The simplices in L are of the form $[S]$ where $\#S \leq 3$ and $S \subset \{R_0(v_0), v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ and S cannot contain both v_0 and $R_0(v_0)$. The intersection of two simplices in L are therefore of three types: either we have two simplices in K that intersect and there we already know that they intersect in a common face inside K because J is a simplicial complex. Applying R_0 which is its own inverse we come to the same conclusion when both simplices that we want to intersect are in $R_0(K)$. Finally if one simplex $[T]$ is in K and the other $[S]$ is not then their intersection cannot contain v_0 and also not $R_0(v_0)$. This implies that $[T] \cap [S] = [T \setminus \{R_0(v_0)\}] \cap [S]$ as this intersection takes place in K we are done.

(d) Find an explicit example of a simplex J as above such that $|L|$ is not a convex polyhedron in \mathbb{R}^n .

We can choose $J = [e_1 - e_2, 0, e_2]$ then $R_0(x, y) = (-x, y)$ is the reflection in the y -axis. L contains the simplices $\{e_1 - e_2\}$ and $\{-e_1 - e_2\}$ so if $|L|$ were to be a convex polyhedron then $|L|$ should also contain the point $\frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2 - e_1 - e_2) = -e_2$. However $|L| = [e_1 - e_2, 0, e_2] \cup [-e_1 - e_2, 0, e_2]$ does not contain $-e_2$.

2. Define $f(x, y) = x^2 - y - 1$. Homogeneous coordinates in \mathbb{P}^2 are taken with respect to the standard basis of \mathbb{R}^3 . Polarity is taken with respect to the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^3 .

(a) Find a non-zero polynomial in three variables $F(x, y, z)$ such that $P(X(f) \times \{1\}) \subset P(X(F)) \subset \mathbb{P}^2$.

We homogenize f to get $F(x, y, z) = x^2 - yz - z^2$. Since $F(x, y, 1) = f(x, y)$ we have $X(f) \times \{1\} \subset X(F)$ and applying P on both sides finishes the proof.

(b) Give the homogeneous coordinates of a point in $P(X(F)) \setminus P(X(f) \times \{1\})$.

Since $F(x, y, 0) = x^2$ we could pick the point $[0 : 1 : 0]$ for example.

- (c) Compute the polar of the projective line through the points $[1 : 1 : 1]$ and $[1 : 2 : 1]$ in \mathbb{P}^2 . If we set $v = (1, 1, 1)$ and $w = (1, 2, 1)$ then the pline through \underline{v} and \underline{w} is $P(U)$ where $U = \{v, w\}$. To find the polar we first determine U^\perp . To find U^\perp we have to find which vectors $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ are perpendicular to both v and w . So we should have $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0 = a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3$ meaning $a_2 = 0$ and $a_3 = -a_1$ in other words $U^\perp = \{(1, 0, -1)\}$ and the polar to the pline $P(U)$ is the set $P(U^\perp) = \{(1, 0, -1)\}$.
- (d) Prove that any two distinct projective planes in \mathbb{P}^3 must intersect in a projective line. A projective plane in \mathbb{P}^3 is of the form $P(U)$ where U is a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^4 of dimension 3. Two distinct 3-dimensional subspaces of \mathbb{R}^4 must intersect in a two-dimensional subspace Z because of lemma 0.3 of the lecture notes. The corresponding projective planes therefore intersect in the pline $P(Z)$.
3. Define a Riemannian chart (P, g) by $P = (0, 6)^3$ and g is given by $g_{12} = g_{21} = g_{23} = g_{32} = 0$ and $g_{11} = g_{22} = g_{33} = 1$ and $g_{13}(x, y, z) = g_{31}(x, y, z) = \frac{y}{3}$.
- (a) Define curves $\alpha, \beta : (-1, 1) \rightarrow P$ by $\alpha(t) = ((1-t)^2, 1 - \sin(t), 1-t)$ and $\beta(t) = (e^{2t}, e^{-t}, e^{-t})$. Prove that α and β cannot both be geodesics with respect to the metric g . Since $\alpha(0) = \beta(0)$ and $\dot{\alpha}(0) = \dot{\beta}(0)$ the uniqueness theorem of geodesics says that $\alpha = \beta$ on at least a small neighborhood of 0 in case both are geodesics. Looking at the formulas it should be clear that $\alpha(1/n) \neq \beta(1/n)$, so they cannot both be geodesics.
- (b) Find the angle between the curves α and β at their intersection point $\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = (1, 1, 1)$.
The angle is 0 because the angle between the curves is the angle between their tangent vectors $\dot{\alpha}(0) = \dot{\beta}(0)$ with respect to the inner product $g(1, 1, 1)$ and some chosen orientation. However the angle formula shows that the angle between two equal vectors is always 0 regardless of the orientation.
- (c) Find the length of the curve $\gamma : (-1, 1) \rightarrow P$ given by $\gamma(t) = (1, e^t, e^t)$ with respect to g . Since $\dot{\gamma}(t) = e^t e_2 + e^t e_3$ we have $g(\gamma(t))(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) = e^{2t} g_{22}(\gamma(t)) + e^{2t} g_{33}(\gamma(t)) + 2e^{2t} g_{23}(\gamma(t)) = 2e^{2t}$. Therefore $L(\gamma) = \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{g(\gamma(t))(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))} dt = \int_{-1}^1 \sqrt{2e^{2t}} dt = \sqrt{2} \int_{-1}^1 e^t dt = \sqrt{2}(e - \frac{1}{e})$.
- (d) Is $F : P \rightarrow P$ given by $F(x, y, z) = (3 - (x - 3), 3 - (y - 3), 3 - (z - 3))$ a Riemannian isometry from (P, g) to (P, g) ?
For any p derivative $dF(p)$ is the linear map $dF(p)(x, y, z) = (-x, -y, -z)$ so $dF(p) = -id$ for all p . We should compare for any two vectors v, w the numbers $g(F(p))(dF(p)v, dF(p)w)$ with $g(p)(v, w)$. If we choose $v = e_1$ $w = e_3$ and $p = (2, 2, 2)$ then $F(p) = (4, 4, 4)$ and $g(F(p))(dF(p)v, dF(p)w) = g(4, 4, 4)(-v, -w) = g(4, 4, 4)(v, w) \neq g(3, 3, 3)(v, w)$ because $g(x, y, z)(e_1, e_3) = g_{13}(x, y, z) = \frac{y}{3}$.