

# Geometry Homework 1

Martijn Kluitenberg and Oscar Koster

March 10, 2020

You can receive  $11 + 8 + 5 + 6 + 8 = 38$  points. Your grade is computed  $(\frac{\text{number of points}}{38}) * 9 + 1$ .

1. (a) Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be linear subspaces of a vector space  $V$ . Under which conditions is  $V_1 \cup V_2$  a linear subspace of  $V$ ? Prove your claim.

**Solution:** Claim:  $V_1 \cup V_2$  is a linear subspace of  $V$  if and only if  $V_1 \subseteq V_2$  or  $V_2 \subseteq V_1$ .  
**(1 point)**

( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $V_1 \subseteq V_2$ , then  $V_1 \cup V_2 = V_2$ , which is clearly a linear subspace. The case  $V_2 \subseteq V_1$  is identical.

**(1 point)**

( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $V_1 \cup V_2$  is a linear subspace, but that there exist  $v_1 \in V_1 \setminus V_2$  and  $v_2 \in V_2 \setminus V_1$ . Since both  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are in  $V_1 \cup V_2$ , so is their difference.

**(1 point)**

But now  $v_2 = v_1 + (v_2 - v_1)$ , so  $v_2 - v_1$  can't be in  $V_1$ . Also,  $v_1 = v_2 - (v_2 - v_1)$ , so it can't be in  $V_2$  either. This gives a contradiction.

**(2 points)**

- (b) Let  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_2$  be affine subspaces of an affine space  $\mathcal{E}$ , directed by  $E$ . Under which condition is  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  an affine subspace of  $\mathcal{E}$ ? Prove your claim.

**Solution:** Claim:  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  an affine subspace of  $\mathcal{E}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2$  or  $\mathcal{F}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1$ .  
**(1 point)**

( $\Leftarrow$ ) This is the same proof as in part (a).

( $\Rightarrow$ ) If either  $\mathcal{F}_1$  or  $\mathcal{F}_2$  is empty, the result certainly holds. So, we can assume from now on that both are nonempty.

**(1 point)**

If  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2$  is nonempty, we can take an element  $A$  of the intersection. In this case, both  $\Theta_A(\mathcal{F}_1)$  and  $\Theta_A(\mathcal{F}_2)$  are linear subspaces of  $E$ . Their union  $\Theta_A(\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2) = \Theta_A(\mathcal{F}_1) \cup \Theta_A(\mathcal{F}_2)$  is a linear subspace of  $E$  if and only if one of them is contained in the other. Hence,  $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2$  or the other way around.

**(2 points)**

Finally, note that  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2 = \emptyset$  is not possible. Indeed, if  $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$  and  $B \in \mathcal{F}_2$ , and  $\Theta_A(\mathcal{F}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2)$  is a linear subspace of  $E$ , we get a contradiction. You can use linear algebra to convince yourself that the line segment  $[A, B]$  has to meet  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}_2$  somewhere. This completes the proof.

**(2 points)**

2. Let  $A$  be a point on  $\mathcal{D}$  be a line in an affine plane  $\mathcal{P}$  with underlying vector space  $P$ . For a one-dimensional linear subspace  $L \subset P$  not equal to  $\Theta_A(\mathcal{D})$  we consider the mapping  $\pi : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  defined as follows.  $\pi(M)$  is the point  $M'$  defined by  $M' \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\overrightarrow{MM'} \in L$ .

- (a) Why can there be only one point  $M'$  with properties  $M' \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\overrightarrow{MM'} \in L$ ?

**Solution:** Note that by assumption we have that  $L \neq \Theta_A(\mathcal{D})$ , meaning the lines are not parallel. This means that  $MM'$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  are not parallel lines.

**(1 point)**

Two non-parallel lines have a unique intersection. Therefore, there is a unique intersection between  $L$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  given by  $M'$ .

**(1 point)**

- (b) Prove that  $\pi$  is an affine map.

**Solution:**

$\pi$  is an affine map if for  $O \in \mathcal{P}$  there is a linear map  $\vec{\pi} : P \rightarrow P$  such that for every  $M \in \mathcal{P}$  we have  $\overrightarrow{\pi(O)\pi(M)} = \vec{\pi}(\overrightarrow{OM})$ .

**(1 point)**

Pick  $A$  to be the origin of  $\mathcal{P}$  i.e.  $O = A$ . Note that  $\pi(A) = A$ , so  $\overrightarrow{\pi(A)\pi(M)} = \overrightarrow{AM'}$ . Set the map  $\vec{\pi} = AM'$  in order to satisfy the definition. We still need to show that  $\vec{\pi}$  is a linear map. First, let  $M, N \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $\overrightarrow{\pi(A)\pi(M+N)} = \overrightarrow{A(M+N)'} = \overrightarrow{AM'} + \overrightarrow{AN'} = \vec{\pi}(\overrightarrow{AM}) + \vec{\pi}(\overrightarrow{AN})$ . Secondly, let  $c$  be a scalar in  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{P}$  then  $\overrightarrow{\pi(cA)\pi(M)} = \overrightarrow{cAM'} = c\overrightarrow{AM'} = c\vec{\pi}(\overrightarrow{AM})$ . Proving that  $\vec{\pi}$  is a linear map.

**(2 points)**

(c) What is the linear map  $\vec{\pi} : P \rightarrow P$  associated to  $\pi$ ?

**Solution:** The linear map  $\vec{\pi} : P \rightarrow P$  associated to  $\pi$  is given by  $\vec{\pi}(\overrightarrow{OM}) = \overrightarrow{OM'}$  for a point  $M \in P$  and an origin  $O \in P$ .

**(1 point)**

(d) Why is  $\pi$  not defined if  $L$  is in the same direction as  $\mathcal{D}$ ?

**Solution:** Suppose  $L$  is in the same direction as  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $L$  would be either parallel to  $\mathcal{D}$  or  $L = \mathcal{D}$ . In the case  $L$  is parallel to  $\mathcal{D}$  there is no intersection  $M'$  between  $L$  and  $\mathcal{D}$ , which means the map  $\pi$  is not defined.

**(1 point)**

In the case  $\mathcal{D} = L$  we would have an infinite number of intersections  $M'$ , this means the map  $\pi$  is not well-defined.

**(1 point)**

3. Imagine a 2-simplex  $[A_0, A_1, A_2]$  with centroid  $G$  and  $G_i$  the centroid of the face opposite to  $A_i$ . Using  $\overrightarrow{GA_0} + \overrightarrow{GA_1} + \overrightarrow{GA_2} = 0 = \overrightarrow{G_0A_1} + \overrightarrow{G_0A_2}$ , prove that  $2\overrightarrow{GG_0} = \overrightarrow{A_0G}$ .

**Solution:** Note that we can write  $\overrightarrow{GG_0} = \overrightarrow{GA_1} + \overrightarrow{A_1G_0}$  and similarly as  $\overrightarrow{GG_0} = \overrightarrow{GA_2} + \overrightarrow{A_2G_0}$ .

**(2 points)**

Adding these together we get that  $2\overrightarrow{GG_0} = \overrightarrow{GA_1} + \overrightarrow{A_1G_0} + \overrightarrow{GA_2} + \overrightarrow{A_2G_0} = \overrightarrow{GA_1} - \overrightarrow{G_0A_1} + \overrightarrow{GA_2} - \overrightarrow{G_0A_2}$ . Rewriting  $\overrightarrow{GA_0} + \overrightarrow{GA_1} + \overrightarrow{GA_2} = 0 = \overrightarrow{G_0A_1} + \overrightarrow{G_0A_2}$  we get that  $\overrightarrow{GA_1} - \overrightarrow{G_0A_1} + \overrightarrow{GA_2} - \overrightarrow{G_0A_2} = -\overrightarrow{GA_0} = \overrightarrow{A_0G}$  proving the claim.

**(3 point)**

4. Prove that for any nonzero  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $O \in \mathcal{E}$  the dilation  $h_{O,\lambda}$  sends any  $n$ -simplex in  $\mathcal{E}$  to another  $n$ -simplex in  $\mathcal{E}$ .

**Solution:** Let  $[A_0, \dots, A_n]$  be an  $n$ -simplex in  $\mathcal{E}$ . We want to show that  $\{h(A_0), \dots, h(A_n)\}$  still spans an  $n$ -dimensional space, and that  $[h(A_0), \dots, h(A_n)] = h([A_0, \dots, A_n])$ .

**(1 points)**

Since the points  $A_0, \dots, A_n$  are affine independent, we have that  $\text{Span}\{\overrightarrow{A_0A_i} : i = 1, \dots, n\}$  is an  $n$ -dimensional linear subspace of  $E$ . Since  $h$  is an affine map,  $\overrightarrow{h(A_0)h(A_i)} = \lambda\overrightarrow{A_0A_i}$ . Then, using  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we see that

$$\text{Span}\{\overrightarrow{h(A_0)h(A_i)} : i = 1, \dots, n\} = \text{Span}\{\lambda\overrightarrow{A_0A_i} : i = 1, \dots, n\},$$

so  $[h(A_0), \dots, h(A_n)]$  is an  $n$  simplex.

**(2 points)**

( $\subseteq$ ) If  $B \in [h(A_0), \dots, h(A_n)]$ , then there are  $\beta_i \in [0, 1]$  such that  $\sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i \overrightarrow{Bh(A_i)} = 0$ . Since  $h$  is bijective, we can choose  $A \in \mathcal{E}$  s.t.  $h(A) = B$ . We have that

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i \overrightarrow{h(A)h(A_i)} = \lambda \sum_{i=0}^n \beta_i \overrightarrow{AA_i},$$

from which it follows that  $A \in [A_0, \dots, A_n]$ . Thus,  $B = h(A) \in h([A_0, \dots, A_n])$ .

**(2 points)**

( $\supseteq$ ) If  $B = h(A)$ , with  $A \in [A_0, \dots, A_n]$ , then by the same computation as above, we have that  $B \in [h(A_0), \dots, h(A_n)]$ . So, we are done.

**(1 points)**

5. By a rotation around point  $A$  in affine Euclidean plane  $\mathcal{E}$  we mean any composition of two affine reflections in lines passing through  $A$ . For  $A, B \in \mathcal{E}$  let  $\rho_A$  be a rotation around  $A$  and  $\rho_B$  a rotation around  $B \neq A$ .

- (a) What is the determinant of the linear map  $\overrightarrow{\rho_A} : E \rightarrow E$  associated to  $\rho_A$ ?

**Solution:** We know the determinant of a linear reflection is  $-1$ . A rotation around a point  $A \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\phi_A$  is the composition of two reflections of lines  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $c\mathcal{F}'$  crossing  $A$ . This implies that for a rotation  $\rho_A$  we have  $\det(\rho) = \det(\sigma_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{F}'}) = \det(\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}) \det(\sigma_{\mathcal{F}'} = -1 \cdot -1 = 1$ .

**(2 points)**

- (b) Prove that  $\overrightarrow{\rho_A \circ \rho_B} = \overrightarrow{\rho_A} \circ \overrightarrow{\rho_B}$ .

**Solution:** Choose an arbitrary origin  $O \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $M \in \mathcal{E}$  then:

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{O\rho_A \circ \rho_B(M)} &= \overrightarrow{\rho_A(\overrightarrow{O\rho_B(M)})} \\ &= \overrightarrow{\rho_A \rho_B(\overrightarrow{OM})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since we have picked an arbitrary  $O$  and  $M$  in  $\mathcal{E}$  we have found that  $\overrightarrow{\rho_A \circ \rho_B} = \overrightarrow{\rho_A} \circ \overrightarrow{\rho_B}$ .

**(2 points)**

- (c) What is the determinant of  $\overrightarrow{\rho_A \circ \rho_B}$ ?

**Solution:** Use question (b) to see that  $\overrightarrow{\rho_A \circ \rho_B} = \overrightarrow{\rho_A} \circ \overrightarrow{\rho_B}$  and hence  $\det(\overrightarrow{\rho_A} \circ \overrightarrow{\rho_B}) = \det(\rho_A) \det(\rho_B) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ .  
**(1 point)**

- (d) Is it true that  $\rho_A \circ \rho_B$  must also be a rotation around some point  $C \in \mathcal{E}$ ? Prove or provide a counterexample.

**Solution:** Consider the rotations around point  $A$  by  $\theta$  denoted  $\rho_{A,\theta}$  and around point  $B$  by  $-\theta$  denoted by  $\rho_{B,-\theta}$ .  
**(2 points)**

This map is a translation and not a rotation around a point.  
**(1 point)**